

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMINSICNER OF PATENTS AND TEADEMARKS PTO 1800 LTSG Alexandra, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.iispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/878.551	06.11/2001	Naftali Sauerbrun	71403-243311	2872
75	90 05 15:2003			
Charanjit Brahma PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP Suite 2800			EXAMINER	
			MENON, KRISHNAN S	
725 South Figue Los Angeles, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	
			DATE MAILED: 05/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/878,551 SAUERBRUN, NAFTALI Advisory Action Examiner Art Unit Krishnan S Menon --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 22 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) \( \subseteq \) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) \( \sum \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \( \sum \) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \_\_\_\_\_ 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_ Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_ Claim(s) rejected: 49 and 50 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_ 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

10. Other: Response to arguments

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 49 and 50 are pending.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 4/28/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Re the argument that Marcus ref does not disclose forming the filter mat with fiber diameter variation within each layer: Examiner agrees that Marcus does not teach varying fiber diameter within each layer. Marcus teaches only varying fiber diameter between the layers. Marcus ref was used only to show the fiber diameter variation between the layers. The primary ref Storms teaches varying fiber diameter within the layer.

Re argument about the 'lack of understanding' Marcus has re longer on-stream life of his filter: the examiner believes that irrespective of whether Marcus understands how his filter has longer on-stream life, his teaching would motivate one of ordinary skill in the art to combine with Storms ref for making the multi-layer filter with varying fiber diameter between layers. Motivation to combine is the improved capacity of the filter.

Claims 49 and 50 will be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Storms (US 4,169,059) in view of Marcus et al (US 4,126,560).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krishnan S Menon whose telephone number is 703-305-5999. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 1723

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wanda L Walker can be reached on 703-308-0457. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Krishnan Menon Patent Examiner May 6, 2003

W. L. WALKER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700